

RUSSIAN ARE REPULSE

A Fight Between the Land Forces in Korea.

Japanese Infantry Pitted Against Russian Cavalry.

ARMY OF 60,000 MEN.

That Many Japanese Landed at Chemulpo Alone.

Orders to Take Port Arthur by March 1.

Russian Commander Says Hold It or Die.

Chung, Feb. 29.—The Twenty-ninth Japanese infantry, occupying Ping Yang, midway between Seoul and the Yalu river on Sunday met a body of Russian cavalry scouts north of Ping Yang and drove them back.

It is estimated that 60,000 Japanese troops have landed at Chemulpo. The transports now are not escorted by war vessels.

The Japanese sailors who manned the merchant vessels which were sunk at Port Arthur, on landing here, shaved their heads as a mark of the disgrace they felt at the failure of the project. The crew of the Kinsen Maru wrote their names on the foremost flag, which remained above water when the ship went down.

THE RUSSIAN ADVANCE.

Liao Yang, Feb. 29.—General Mishchenko, with a detachment of mounted cossacks, has reached Kasha, Korea, and is expected to arrive at Yehio Yang today. His men and horses are in good condition and he is well supplied with provisions.

The Korean officials are fleeing from the place traversed and are informing the Japanese of the approach of the Russians.

The cossacks have seized the telegraph line in northern Korea. A Japanese major and five men, captured by cossacks at Wiju have been brought to Liao Yang.

The concentration of Chinese troops westward of Mukden in the district of Gubantse is not related by the Russians. War material is being brought up and the militia posts are being strengthened. It is doubted if the attitude of the population will be relied upon. The people at several points refuse to sell produce to the Russians.

Show storms are raging here and the frost is intense.

Railroad in working perfectly and troops are arriving uninterruptedly. Their health and spirits are excellent.

Seoul, Feb. 29.—Details of a skirmish at Ping Yang show that 50 Russian cavalrymen approached the north gate of the Japanese camp and fired at 1,000 Japanese, which was repulsed. The Russian force was killed and the Japanese retired. All foreigners are safe.

The fight took place on the morning of the 28th.

The emperor has contributed 100,000 yen and the crown prince 50,000 yen to the Japanese relief fund.

St. Petersburg, Feb. 29.—A dispatch received here from Liao Yang, and dated February 28, says that Chinese on the Yalu river report that an advance guard of Russian cavalry, which has penetrated Korea for a distance of about 200 versts across the river, had met and defeated a detachment of Japanese, and that the Japanese were compelled to retreat and had leaving their horses, which were seized by cossacks. General Linkevitch dispatched cavalry and a body of infantry in pursuit and with an order to occupy Northern Korea.

TO ASSIST RUSSIAN WOUNDED.

Moscow, Feb. 29.—A large representative meeting of the nobility, nobles and chief government officials was held here yesterday to discuss the best methods of assisting the Russian wounded during the war in the Far East. At the close of the meeting a telegram was dispatched to the czar expressing unbounded loyalty to him. His majesty immediately replied, expressing his heartfelt gratitude for the noble efforts which inspired the message and the sentiments voiced toward himself, adding:

"I see in these expressions new testimony of a determination on the part of Russian nobles to serve their emperor as they did in former days and to work in common with the sons of Russia for the welfare of our dear fatherland."

COAL STEAMERS RELEASED.

Suez, Feb. 29.—The Russian cruiser Aurora, with five torpedo boats, has arrived here.

The British coal laden steamers Ettrickdale, Frithby and the Norwegian steamer Matilda, also loaded with coal, captured by the Russian squadron on the Red sea, have been released by order of the czar.

RUSSIAN CRUISER NEAR COLOMBO.

Colombo, Island of Ceylon, Feb. 29.—One of the vessels of the Russian volunteer cruiser fleet is reported to be cruising 37 miles to the westward of Colombo.

RUSSIA GIVES IN.

Washington, Feb. 29.—The Russian army formally has granted the request of the United States that certain officers of the American army be permitted to accompany the Russian troops and witness their operation in the war with Japan. Ambassador McCormick, in a cablegram informing Secretary Hay of this fact, states that the officers cannot join the Russian army before April 15 at the Russian frontier.

PRINCE CHING GIVES A POINTER.

St. Petersburg, Feb. 29.—An official news agency today publishes the following dispatch:

Port Arthur, Feb. 29.—According to a dispatch from Pekin, Prince Ching has pointed out to the Japanese minister in China, who for more than a week have been spreading mendacious reports against the Japanese minister, that the attitude of the Japanese minister is calculated to cause a rising against the European. The prince therefore requested the Japanese minister to take the necessary preventive measures.

It is confirmed that a Japanese torpedo boat destroyer was blown up and another sunk during the recent fight-

THEY GO OVER.

Gambling Case Postponed on Account of Attorney's Absence.

J. G. Waters, for Defense, Now at Dewey Trial.

AFFIDAVITS ARE FILED

They Show Why Capt. Waters Is Absent.

Important Witnesses Give Bond for Appearance.

The cases against Mike Thompson, Wood Fowler, St. Hurst and "Tobe" Tobias, charged with gambling contrary to the Cullison law, were postponed in the district court this morning by Judge Hazen.

The postponement was made on the strength of two affidavits, one by Eugene Hagan and the other by John C. Waters. The affidavit of Hagan's set forth the statement that he had been retained by the four defendants to look after the defense of their case and that they had authorized him to retain G. C. Clemens to assist in the case. He, Hagan, gave Clemens a check as the retainer fee. Clemens returned the check and declined to take part in the defense. Hagan was then authorized to J. G. Waters to assist in the defense.

Waters was employed, the affidavit stated, six weeks ago and that he fully intended to be present at the trial and that he had prepared himself in the case. The affidavit further stated that Waters went to Norton to assist in the defense of the Dewey ranchmen in the murder trial there and that he thought that the case and that he had inserted a bar to the trial of the case, but that would come to an end within two weeks. Waters' calculations do not seem to be correct, as the affidavit attached to the affidavit was as follows:

"Norton, Kan., Feb. 29.—Eugene Hagan, attorney, Kan., want to be sure to help Mike Thompson matter. Have prepared for it but I am here in Dewey case and can't get away before 6th anyhow if then. See Judge. Hagan, J. G. Waters affidavit was to the same effect.

County Attorney Hungate stated to the court that he was ready to prosecute the case and that he had summoned witnesses here from Oklahoma and that he wished to continue with the case. Judge Hazen announced that he would consider the case at the trial of the case, but that as Thompson was to be tried for a felony and as Waters could not be called upon to leave a murder trial, he would postpone the case for the remainder of the term.

The witnesses were Will Griffith and Charles Ramsey and Judge Hazen ordered each give a bond for \$300 for appearance at the trial of the case. Each witness furnished the bond.

Judge Hazen first intended to set the trial of the gambling cases for the latter part of January or early in February, but the setting of the date of the trial was postponed at the request of County Attorney Hungate, who was then in the city and had been drinking some and was quarrelsome.

SHOT AND KILLED.

Ed Danglefield Tries to Steal Ride With Fatal Results.

Scranton, Kan., Feb. 29.—Ed Danglefield was shot here early Sunday morning by Jim McKenzie, a colored porter on Santa Fe passenger train No. 17 and had been killed.

At 1 o'clock this morning, Danglefield was trying to ride on the train without a ticket. He was put off at Carbondale, arrested, and crawled back on the blind baggage as the train pulled out. The colored porter and Danglefield had exchanged blows and had also thrown each at each other.

Danglefield had leaped from the blind and was running to the rear of the train when McKenzie fired the shot which resulted in Danglefield's death.

Every effort to capture McKenzie is vain.

It is charged that the train officials helped Danglefield get off at Osage City to an east bound train back to Kansas City, though this is hardly likely.

Colored men are not given much encouragement to stop at Scranton and the sentiment here is bitter against McKenzie.

The county attorney will hold an investigation here at once in consultation with the coroner. Danglefield will be buried here Tuesday. It is said that he had gotten on the train at Topeka at midnight and had been drinking and drinking some and was quarrelsome.

BACK TO \$1.00.

Selling by Armour Again Causes Fall in Wheat.

Chicago, Feb. 29.—Under heavy liquidation by the market, the wheat for May delivery today dropped 3 3/4c and sold at \$1.00 a bushel. The July option declined 2 1/4c, selling down to 53 1/4c. The extreme weakness was due to the fact that the market was flooded with wheat from the break in wheat, however, the feature in trading was a decline of 1 1/2c in the price of May wheat. The commodity was sold at \$1.00, but broke to \$1.00 under active profit taking.

The bottom price of the day in May wheat was reached at 99 1/2c. Evening purchases caused a rally from the low point, the close being at \$1.01, a net loss for the day of 3 3/4c.

ONE BARON KILLED.

Germans Fight Ten Hours' Battle With Africans.

Berlin, Feb. 29.—Col. Luetwien, governor of German Southwest Africa, telegraphed from Swakopmund yesterday that Major Estorff's column of 200 men, on February 25, had a severe ten hours' fight with the Hereros near Otjimbingwe. The Hereros held their position, against the Germans, who were not available. Towards the evening the Germans broke through the enemy's formation and the natives retired. Their strength and the number of their losses are not known. One German officer was killed and four officers, among them Baron Schoffau Welher, and four men were wounded.

Took Poison and Died.

San Francisco, Feb. 29.—James Baker, 21 years of age, son of a Missouri capitalist, who was attending the University of California, has ended his existence by taking poison. He came here from Indianapolis today saying that Mme. Patti was unable to come because of a cold.

While this is formal reason advised, it is generally understood that the real cause of the singer's sudden change in her plans is an unsatisfactory advance sale of seats.

Torpedo Fleet Sails.

Suez, Feb. 29.—The United States torpedo boat destroyer flotilla, bound for Manila, under command of the cruiser Bufalo, sailed to the southward this morning.

THE KELLY FACTS.

His Conduct of Office in Miami County.

What Has Led to the Opposition to Nomination.

THE FORGED VOUCHERS

Figures Had Been Changed and Raised.

Total Amount Paid Back by Mr. Kelly Was \$1,324.

There seems to be a considerable number of people who do not fully understand the charges of embezzlement and forgery against T. T. Kelly in Miami county, and still more do not understand the full history of the matter.

T. T. Kelly, now state treasurer, was county clerk of Miami county for four years during the early nineties. Nothing was known concerning any crookedness in his office, however, until two years ago. He was popular in his home county, and when he became a candidate for state treasurer, the Republicans of Miami county, holding their convention very early, allowed Mr. Kelly to choose the Miami county delegation to the state convention.

About that time, however, a former county official intimated to some of the leading Republicans that Kelly's record as county clerk was crooked. They demanded proof and one or two instances were cited. The records were investigated and seemed to bear out the statement.

THE FIRST CHARGES.

In one instance a voucher in favor of Crane & Co. of Topeka was raised from \$16 to \$145, by inserting a figure "1" between the dollar mark and the figure "4". The records showed that a warrant for \$145 was issued by the county treasurer in favor of Crane & Co. and received for by Kelly, who was supposed to remit the amount to Crane & Co.

In another instance a voucher of the Hamilton Printing company was raised from \$16 to \$6 by converting the "1" into a "6" by making a little circular hole in the bottom of the "1". The records showed that Kelly had drawn \$66 from the county treasury on this voucher, when the bill was only \$16.

Convinced that Kelly's record was not what it seemed, a statement was furnished the board of county commissioners of Miami county concerning the matter, and A. L. Thomas, who had been registered as a Democrat, but had become a Republican, furnished the State Journal with a similar statement, together with photographic plates of the changed vouchers and receipted warrants.

KELLY'S EXPLANATION.

Believing the truth, whatever it might be, should be given to the public, Kelly issued a statement, but made no statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly in order that he might explain or answer the charges in the same issue in which they were published. Mr. Kelly's answer, however, was not satisfactory. He did not attempt to explain anything about the charges against him, but made a statement, but the statement was first submitted to Mr. Kelly